



Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited

Monthly Monitoring Report - 4 (July, 2018)

Environment Compliance Monitoring During Construction Period of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Project Rampal, Bagerhat



Submitted in August, 2018

C_{GIS}

Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Services

House 6, Road 23/C, Gulshan-1, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh. Tel: 88 02 58817649-52; 9842581, 9842551 Fax: 88 02 9843128, <http://www.cegisbd.com>

Monthly Monitoring Report - 4
(July, 2018)

Environment Compliance Monitoring
During Construction Period of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal
Power Project, Rampal, Bagerhat

Acknowledgements

The Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Services (CEGIS), a Public Trust under the Ministry of Water Resources, is grateful to Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL) for awarding the contract of consultancy for “Monthly Environment Compliance Monitoring during Construction Period for 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Project at Rampal in Bagerhat District, Khulna Division” for a period of one year.

CEGIS greatly acknowledges the extensive support of Mr. Surojit Mandal, General and Construction Manager, BHEL (Power Sector – Eastern Region: Maitree 2x660 MW STPP) extended to CEGIS as an independent agency during July, 2018 for 4th monthly environmental compliance monitoring activities. CEGIS is thankful to Mr. Sartaj Husain, Dy. Engineer, BHEL (BIFPCL 2 x 660 MW MSTPP) for his cooperative and collaborative insistence for accelerating this Monthly Environment Compliance Monitoring Project. Additionally, CEGIS is also grateful to Mr. Ratnadeep Kumar, Asst. Engineer (Safety), BHEL (BIFPCL 2x660 MW MSTPP) for mobilizing the field activities.

Table of Content

Acknowledgements	i
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	iv
Abbreviations and Acronyms	v
Glossary	vii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Brief of the Project.....	1
1.3 Selection of Sample Collection Site and Discussion with the Proponent	2
1.4 Location of the Project.....	2
1.5 Study Objectives	5
1.6 Scope of the Services	5
1.7 Purpose of the Study.....	6
2. Approach and Methodology	7
2.1 Overall Approach	7
2.2 Team Mobilization.....	7
2.3 Methodology of Environmental Compliance Monitoring	8
2.3.1 Ambient Air Quality	8
2.3.2 Water Quality	8
2.3.3 Ambient Noise Level.....	10
3. Monitoring Results and Discussion	13
3.1 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results and Discussion	13
3.2 Water Quality Monitoring Results and Discussion.....	15
3.3 Ambient Noise Level Monitoring Results and Discussion.....	19
4. Follow-up Action Required	23
Annex-I	25

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Environmental Parameters Measurement during Monthly Monitoring Visit	5
Table 2.1: Composition of Environmental Compliance Monitoring Team	8
Table 2.2: Methods Followed in Analyzing Water Samples	9
Table 3.1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results	14
Table 3.2: Discharge Water Quality Monitoring Result (RO Discharge Point)	16
Table 3.3: Storm Water Discharge Quality Monitoring Result	17
Table 3.4a: Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Result (Near Jetty Area)	18
Table 3.4b: Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Result (Near BHEL Office)	18
Table 3.5: Ambient Noise Level from In and Around the Project Site	19

List of Figures

Figure 1.1a: Location of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant	3
Figure 1.1b: Location of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant	4
Figure 2.1: Monitoring Framework	7
Figure 2.2a: Sampling Locations	11
Figure 2.2b: Sampling Locations	12
Figure 3.1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring	15
Figure 3.2a: Storm Discharge Water Sample Collection	16
Figure 3.2b: Storm Discharge Water Outlet	16
Figure 3.3: Ambient Noise Level Monitoring during Day Time	22
Figure 3.4: Ambient Noise Level Monitoring during Night	22

Abbreviations and Acronyms

BHEL	Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited
BIFPCL	Bangladesh-India Friendship Power Company Limited
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BPDB	Bangladesh Power Development Board
CEGIS	Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Services
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DoE	Department of Environment
EC	Electric Conductivity
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EHS	Environmental Health Safety
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EPC	Engineering, Procurement and Construction
HSE	Health Safety and Environment
MW	Mega Watt
NO _x	Oxides of Nitrogen
NTPC	National Thermal Power Corporation
PM	Particulate Matter
PP	Power Plant
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SPM	Suspended Particulate Matter
TDS	Total Dissolved Solid
ToR	Terms of Reference
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

Glossary

Term	Definition
Compliance	When construction activities are being conducted in accordance with the Environmental Requirements (standards) of the Project.
Environmental Requirements	Environmental Specifications set out in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) and relevant Environmental Protection Plan (EPP). Conditions included in the Environmental Assessment Approval for the Project
Non-conformance	A construction activity conducted in a manner that deviates from a best management practice, compliance with which is required in the EPP for that construction activity.
Non-compliance	A construction activity conducted in a manner that deviates from a legal condition under a permit, an enactment, or a regulation, compliance with which is required in the EPP for that construction activity.
Reportable Environmental Incident	An incident of non-conformance or non-compliance which has caused or has the potential for causing an impact on the quality of air, land or water, wildlife, aquatic species, species at risk or heritage resources, and is reportable under a permit, an enactment, or a regulation.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant is a joint venture Project of Bangladesh Power Development Board (BPDB), and National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC) Ltd., India and is run by Bangladesh-India Friendship Power Company Pvt. Ltd. (BIFPCL). Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL) has been awarded the contract of all Engineering, Procurement and Construction works of the Power Plant within the specified schedule. BHEL has already initiated the construction works. In order to fulfil the environmental due diligence, BHEL has decided to engage a third party for monthly monitoring of the environmental compliance within the Project area as per the requirement of Department of Environment (DoE), Bangladesh EIA approval Condition No-44 which is: “*Environmental Monitoring Reports according to specific format specified in the EIA Report shall be made available simultaneously to DoE Bagerhat District Office, Khulna Divisional Office and Headquarters on a monthly basis during the construction period of the Project.*” In this regard, monitoring of environmental parameters as well as the status of Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) should be conducted in accordance with the EMP as stated in EIA Study Report.

Accordingly, CEGIS has been appointed by BHEL for conducting the monthly monitoring of the environmental compliance of Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant of BIFPCL. This monitoring activities need to be continued for the entire construction period and the reports need to be submitted to DoE on monthly basis. According to the contract CEGIS has already conducted environmental compliance monitoring for the month of April, May, June, 2018 and submitted to BHEL. As a continuous process, CEGIS conducted the 4th monthly monitoring activities during July, 2018 and this 4th monitoring Report has been prepared for submission to BHEL for their consideration. The aforementioned format of the report specified in the EIA study along with the monitoring results has been appended in the **Annex-I**.

1.2 Brief of the Project

The construction of BIFPCL 2x660 MW Maitree STPP has been progressing well as the Project is now in the infrastructure development stage. The Project area includes 366.40 hectares (905 acres) of land (Block-A) which is just beside the BPDB Project area (Block-B). The MSTPP Project area is connected with a 6 km long two lane access road from the Khulna-Mongla Highway to the MSTPP area. Expansion works are going on to convert this access road into a six lane road. A number of construction companies are now working at the BIFPCL Project site to complete the civil works within the stipulated time schedule. The Project activities conducted at various stages, include:

- Extension work for increasing the width of the access road within the Project area;
- Passage of road for traffic movement for construction purposes;
- Construction works of road and drains in the Plant area.
- Construction materials are aggregated for major mechanical construction works

- Pile driving, Soil compaction, base and sub-base construction activities;
- Construction works in the Jetty area;
- Labour shed construction;
- Service works;
- Power supply from the national grid and also from generator at project site;
- Concrete Batching Plant and concrete pouring operations.
- Development of various infrastructure works like store, material shed, office complex, residential complex etc.

1.3 Selection of Sample Collection Site and Discussion with the Proponent

Fourth monthly environmental compliance monitoring has been conducted from 17th July to 20th July 2018. One environmental expert and one engineer along with two technician of CEGIS visited and conducted the field investigation. They have collected the samples from the pre-selected site and monitored the sampling equipment continuously.

The Team communicated with Mr. Sartaj Husain, Dy. Engineer, BHEL for informing him about the routine monitoring activities. An informal discussion meeting was held with the proponent regarding the sampling location, monitoring schedule and significant issues of ambient air quality. During this field visit of CEGIS monitoring team on 17th July, 2018, Mr. Sartaj Husain, Dy. Engineer, BHEL – has assisted the monitoring team in collecting data and information and facilitated the field monitoring activities. During this field trip the monitoring Team has successfully conducted the field activities and eventually, generated the monitoring results after laboratory analysis which has been presented in this fourth monthly monitoring Report.

1.4 Location of the Project

The MST Power Plant is located in between latitude 22^o 37' 0"N to 22^o34'30"N and longitude 89^o32'0"E to 89^o34'5"E and at about 23 km south of the Khulna City and 14 km north-westward from the Sundarbans. Location of the MSTP Project area is presented in Figure 1.1a and 1.1b




Figure 1.1a: Location of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant




Figure 1.1b: Location of 2x660 MW Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant

1.5 Study Objectives

The overall objective of this study is to monitor the environmental compliance of certain parameters during the construction phase of this Power Plant Project.

- Monitoring of the certain environmental parameters of air quality, water quality and noise level at the sensitive receptor (point) in and around the Project site;
- Comparing the monitoring results with national (ECR, 1997) and international standard (IFC, 2007) and;
- Identifying the causes behind non-compliance and suggesting mitigation measures accordingly.

1.6 Scope of the Services

The scope of the study are as follows which are specified in **Table 1.1**

- Conducting monthly air quality monitoring continuously (24 hrs.) at the sensitive receptors within the project boundary. Air quality monitoring stations are to be set at least one in every location near the labour shed, one in major construction works area, and one at the Jetty area. Air quality monitoring parameters to be monitored include SO₂, NO_x, CO, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SPM and O₃.
- Conducting monthly water quality monitoring in the project area, especially at the discharge points. At least three samples are to be collected from the Project area which include discharge outlet point and drinking water, etc. The monitoring parameters are pH, DO, Temperature, BOD₅, COD, Hardness, Electric Conductivity (EC), TDS, NO₃, PO₄, SO₄, Cl⁻ after grab sampling.
- Conducting monthly monitoring of noise level as Leq (dBA) values both for day and night time. Noise level will be monitored at ten locations through USEPA approved standard procedure. Monitoring locations will be determined on the basis of site specific work and location sensitivity.

Table 1.1: Environmental Parameters Measurement during Monthly Monitoring Visit

Parameters		Number of Location	Frequency of Sampling	Quantity of Samples	Guidance and Acceptance of the tests
Air Quality	SO _x , NO _x , SPM, PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} , CO, O ₃	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North west corner of the Power Plant./ Jetty Location • Labor Shed area. • Major Construction area 	1	3	As per the guidelines provided in approved EIA reports for 2x660 MW, Coal based Thermal Power Construction. Project at Rampal Upazila, under Bagerhat district of Bangladesh.
Water Quality	BOD ₅	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outlet point-1 • Outlet point-2 • Outlet point-3 	1	3	
	COD		1	3	
	Total Hardness		1	3	
	Chlorine		1	3	
	pH		1	3	
	DO		1	3	
	Temperature		1	3	
	EC		1	3	
	Nitrate		1	3	
Sulphate	1	3			

Parameters		Number of Location	Frequency of Sampling	Quantity of Samples	Guidance and Acceptance of the tests
	Phosphate		1	3	And in line with the relevant Environmental Acts and Rules of Govt. of Bangladesh.
	TDS		1	3	
Noise Level	Noise level	10 sites (considering the major sensitive area/construction activities)	1	10	

1.7 Purpose of the Study

Environmental Compliance Monitoring is immensely necessary for a Project to assess the environmental status, non-compliance and non-conformance issues as per national environmental standards and good international practices. Additionally, with the aim of complying the EIA approval condition (Condition no.: 44) of Department of Environment, Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited (BHEL) has engaged Center for Environmental and Geographic Information Services (CEGIS) for carrying out the Monthly Environmental Compliance Monitoring study of the Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant. The Environmental Monitoring report presents the results of physical environment, particularly ambient air quality, ambient noise level and water quality, during the construction phase of the proposed Power Project. This monitoring activities will be conducted monthly, which has already started from the month of April, 2018 and will be continued for one year. Moreover, quarterly monitoring of environmental parameters is already being conducted by BIFPCL since February, 2014. The results of the monitoring will be presented monthly to BHEL reflecting the compliance status of the environmental parameters along with the follow-up action.

2. Approach and Methodology

2.1 Overall Approach

Study approach is prepared based on the scope of services. According to the ToR, this monitoring report is being prepared for the month of July , 2018. The environmental parameters for air quality, water quality and noise level have been selected based on the monitoring framework of the EIA study of 2x660 MW Coal based Thermal Power Plant Project at Rampal, Bagerhat which is also recommended in the scope of the services.

The location of air, water and noise monitoring sites (stations) are selected depending on the recommendation of the EIA study, location sensitivity and impact potentiality of that particular area in a specific time period. The **Figure 2.1** below provides an understanding of the different activity which has been carried out during the monitoring of Environmental Compliance of Maitree Super Thermal Power Plant.




Figure 2.1: Monitoring Framework

Monitoring of environmental parameters like air quality, water quality and noise level are investigated through different monitoring equipment and tools. For air quality monitoring volumetric sampler has been used, water quality parameters has been tested in-situ in the field and grab sample has been collected for laboratory analysis and finally the sound pressure level meter has been used to estimate the present noise level equivalent in the surrounding areas. However, the monitoring activities have been conducted continuously at specified locations with skilled technicians and professionals.

2.2 Team Mobilization

An Environmental Compliance monitoring Team consisting of one Environmental Expert, one Junior Environmental Engineer and two technicians have conducted the monitoring study in the field (Table 2.1). The monitoring Team has worked at the BIFPCL 2x660 MW MSTPP site for 4 days i.e., from 17th to 20th July, 2018. The monitoring activities have been performed independently as per standard practices.

Table 2.1: Composition of Environmental Compliance Monitoring Team

Position Assigned	Number	Responsibilities
Environmental Expert	1	Preparation of the Monitoring Plan, maintaining effective communication with BHEL and preparation of the Report.
Junior Environmental Engineer	1	Implementation of the compliance monitoring and preparation of the Report
Technician	2	Operation of the machineries and equipment, sampling etc.,

Samples collected from the field have been submitted to laboratory for analysis and testing. The results were checked precisely. The root cause has been assessed after analysis of the results and field observation. Additionally, a compliance monitoring Report for the month of July, 2018 has been prepared, which is reviewed by the internal and external experts. A number of internal professional manpower inputs have been used within the Consultant's Team for the efficient functioning and completion of the services.

2.3 Methodology of Environmental Compliance Monitoring

The monitoring activities have been performed through a methodological frame work. QA/QC procedure have been maintained during the field investigation and sampling of all the environmental parameters. The locations of air quality, water quality and noise level monitoring are shown in **Figure 2.1a** and **Figure 2.1b**. The locations are subjected to change in future depending on the potentiality of the impacts and its magnitude, sensitivity of the receptors and capturing the worst case scenarios. The procedure associated with the monitoring of each of the environmental parameters are described in the following sub-articles.

2.3.1 Ambient Air Quality

The ambient air quality has been monitored in three locations as specified in **Figure 2.1a** and **Figure 2.1b**. Air quality monitoring is performed at locations adjacent to the labour shed, jetty areas and construction site where the ambient air quality might be affected by construction and installation works as well as transportation of materials for working. The air samples were collected at the construction areas to test the environmental parameters to ensure that the EPC contractor BHEL complies with the terms and condition of environmental protection.

Emission of particulate matters and gaseous pollutants from construction site are monitored for 24 hours continuously. All of the criteria pollutants (SPM, CO, O₃, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO_x, and NO_x) have been monitored at specified places. Respirable Dust Sampler (Model-Envirotech India APM-460BL) and Fine Particulate Sampler (Model-Envirotech India APM-550) have been used to collect the air samples. The PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and SPM have been tested by gravimetric method. The SO₂ has been absorbed in a specified chemicals and tested by West-Gaeke method. The NO₂ has also been absorbed in a specified chemicals and tested by Jacob and Hochheiser method. Finally CO and O₃ has been measured using a Metravi CO-10 meter and Tongdy O₃ monitor respectively.

2.3.2 Water Quality

Reverse osmosis technology is being used for producing fresh water by treatment of saline Passur river water as per suggestion of the EMP of EIA study. In this process, high concentrated saline water called brine is produced which ultimately discharged to the Passur

river. At present there are two RO based Water Treatment Plants supplying water to the officials of BFPCL, BHEL and Labours. At the time of field investigation, the RO based Water Treatment Plant near the Jetty area of ABM Water Company has been found under routine maintenance work. So, Officials and Labours were using water from another RO based Water Treatment Plant installed near the BHEL Office or bottled water supplied from outside the Project. Therefore, the RO Water Treatment Plant discharge to the Passur river were recorded as minimum. It was informed that RO Water Treatment Plant near the Jetty will come into operation within one week time.

During this 4th monthly compliance monitoring program three water samples were collected, one from RO technology (near jetty area) based Water Treatment Plant discharge outlet, one from storm water discharge outlet and one from drinking water outlets from RO Plant near the BHEL office for analysis. The location of samples collected for water quality monitoring have been shown in **Figure 2.1a** and **Figure 2.1b**. Samples of water discharge from the Project site to Passur River has been collected to determine the current status of discharge water quality.

Water quality field investigation has been conducted on July, 2018 which is marked as full - monsoon. Storm water discharge outlet has been observed at different places around the project site. Therefore, one sample from storm water discharge outlet has also been collected.

Standard practices have been followed for monitoring water quality. In-situ testing have been done at field and collected samples were brought and submitted to the laboratory for various analyses. The parameters that are being analysed include pH, DO, Temperature, BOD₅, COD, EC, TDS, NO₃, PO₄, SO₄, and Chloride as recommended in the EIA study done for the BIFPCL Project. Detail methodology for testing the water quality parameters are shown in **Table 2.2**.

Table 2.2: Methods Followed in Analyzing Water Samples

Sl. No	Parameters	Methods	Reference
01	Temp	Electrode	HORIBA, U-50 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter
02	pH	Electrode	HORIBA, U-50 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter
03	EC	Electrode	Multi-parameter meter (Instrument Catalog)
04	TDS	Electrode	Multi-parameter meter (Instrument Catalog)
05	Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	DO Meter	Lutron DO 5519 (Instrument Catalog)
06	Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	DO Meter	Lutron DO 5519 (Instrument Catalog)
07	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Colorimetric Method (COD Reactor: Et 125 SC and Spectrophotometer: UNICO 4802)	APHA, (1992)
08	Total Hardness (TH)	Titrimetric Method	APHA, (1992)
09	Chloride (Cl ⁻)	Moh's Titration	APHA, (1992)
10	Sulfate (SO ₄ ²⁻)	Turbidity metric Method	APHA, (1992)

Sl. No	Parameters	Methods	Reference
11	Phosphate (PO_4^{3-})	Ascorbic Acid Method	APHA, (1992)
12	Nitrate (NO_3^-)	Ultraviolet Spectrophotometric Screening Method	APHA, (1992)
13	Salinity	Electrode	HORIBA, U-50 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter
14	Turbidity	Electrode	HORIBA, U-50 Multi-parameter Water Quality Meter

2.3.3 Ambient Noise Level

During construction stage major source of noise is expected to stem from construction site, movement of vehicles, electricity producing generator etc. For the sake of this study, ambient noise levels have been monitored at ten locations. Noise levels have been measured during day and night time at each of the 10 locations based on the location sensitivity, importance and impact potentiality. Each time noise level was recorded for fifteen minutes continually by using portable noise level meter. The sites are subject to change in future monitoring schedule based on the changing of working areas, types of work and importance of the location.

Noise is described by a weighted sound intensity (or level) and is measured in units called decibels (dBA). However, in this circumstance the noise level has been measured in terms of A- weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (L_{eq}) and recorded by Sound Level (Sound Pressure Level) Meter (kanomax-4431). Depending on the site condition and acoustic environment, the noise meter was set up and calibrated each time following the manufacturer's instruction manual.




Figure 2.2a: Sampling Locations




Figure 2.2b: Sampling Locations

3. Monitoring Results and Discussion

Environmental compliance monitoring is being implemented by an independent organization, CEGIS under a contract signed between BHEL and CEGIS. In line with the directives of the ToR of the contract, the environmental compliance monitoring is being performed. This monthly environmental monitoring results have been generated through in-situ testing and analysis of samples at the laboratory. This report also compares the sample results with the standard limits and provides commentary environmental issues during the month of July, 2018.. Results of the previous investigations (1st to 3rd month) have also been incorporated in this Report so as to compare the overall trend of the concerned environmental compliances.

3.1 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results and Discussion

Air quality has been sampled through volumetric sampling procedure. Sample has been collected for 24 Hours continually at three places especially at the site of labour shed, Jetty area and major construction areas. All of the criteria pollutants have been checked randomly in the Project site in order to assess the baseline situation as well as for the compliance standard. **Table 3.1** shows the monitoring results of the criteria pollutants.

Table 3.1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Results

Criteria Pollutants ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Monitoring Locations												Standard Limit	
	Labour Shed AQ-1 (24hr)				Jetty Location AQ-2 (24hr)				Major Construction Area AQ-3 (24hr)				ECR, 2005	IFC, 2007
	1 st MM (April, 2018)	2 nd MM (May, 2018)	3 rd MM (June, 2018)	4 th MM (July, 2018)	1 st MM (April, 2018)	2 nd MM (May, 2018)	3 rd MM (June, 2018)	4 th MM (July, 2018)	1 st MM (April, 2018)	2 nd MM (May, 2018)	3 rd MM (June, 2018)	4 th MM (July, 2018)		
SO ₂	13.3	11.5	14.2	11.9	15.3	11.2	12.0	6.2	10.7	8.9	11.2	12.2	365 (24hr)	125 (IT-1), 20 (24hr)
NO _x	15.4	15.1	16.5	13.9	16.7	13.6	13.7	7.1	12.9	10.2	13.1	14.5	100 (Annual)	200 (1-Hr)
SPM	174.1	148.9	160.1	134.1	187.1	112.3	165.0	95.2	164.6	108.7	143.6	149.1	200 (8hr)	-
PM ₁₀	149.1	115.1	140.2	109.3	134.3	103.4	130.3	74.9	91.9	69.2	101.0	122.5	150 (24hr)	150 (IT-1), 50 (24hr)
PM _{2.5}	32.2	24.6	34.0	28.9	35.7	25.2	36.3	20.2	23.0	15.0	26.2	32.7	65 (24hr)	75 (IT-1), 25 (24hr)
CO	35.0	25.0	29.0	31	41.0	32.0	21.0	36	29.0	21.0	23.0	26	10000 (8hr)	-
O ₃	4.0	6.0	8.0	06	2.0	10.0	10.0	04	6.0	11.0	7.0	08	157 (8hr)	160 (IT-1), 100 (8-hr)

Source: CEGIS, 2018;

Note: MM: Monthly Monitoring; AQ: Air Quality

Ambient air quality for the pollutant gases has been recorded to be well below the standard limit during this month. Presence of criteria pollutants in ambient air quality at labour shed and Jetty location were recorded lower than previous month but slightly higher at major construction area. Increasing activities at the construction yard were responsible for rising the pollutants concentration. Regular monsoon rainfall reduces over pollutants in the ambient air quality of the project area. However, the potential sources of air pollutants at the time of sampling for 24 hrs presented below.

- Massive construction activities
- Exposed/uncovered soil of the Project site.
- Land development activities of BPDB site.
- Construction works like piling, soil compaction, testing, building construction and other civil works etc.
- Vehicular movement over the paved and unpaved roads inside the Project area.
- Operation of generators and different types of machineries.
- Stockpile of sand, stone, debris etc.

Land development and excavation works of the Project site. Since maximum project works are limited to day time, the pollutants increases during day time and reduces during night time. Therefore, the collective pollution concentration for each of the criteria pollutants represent the average values for 24 hrs. Moreover, frequent rainfall is also responsible for washout of air pollutants of the Project air shed. However, the ambient air pollutants were found within the standard limit as set by the DoE. The Project authority should take further necessary action, such as, use of dust musk for the labour during working at the construction site.



Figure 3.1: Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

3.2 Water Quality Monitoring Results and Discussion

AS per EMP and EIA approval condition, “the Project Authority will not use ground water during construction as well as operation purposes of the Power Plant.” Therefore, BHEL has to use desalinized Passur river water during construction stages of the Plant. Accordingly, a small scale RO desalinization Plant near the BHEL office had been operated to supply

drinking water to the officials and labours. Additionally, a large scale desalination Plant based on Reverse Osmosis (RO) technology has been established near the proposed Jetty of the Project and is under operation for supplying the construction water at site.

This desalination RO technology based Plant is also used for supplying drinking water for the labours as well as officials working at site. This Plant was undergoing for routine maintenance work. Therefore, a small quantity of rejected water from RO desalination Plant, which was not as similar to previous RO discharge.

At present, a number of temporary drainage network are being developed for discharging storm water into the adjacent river. During the dry days, this storm water are re-used for sprinkling or curing purpose. As mentioned earlier, during this 4th monitoring program three samples were collected, one from RO technology based Water Treatment Plant discharge outlet, one from storm water discharge outlet and one from drinking water outlets from BHEL project office for analysis.



Figure 3.2a: Storm Discharge Water Sample Collection



Figure 3.2b: Storm Discharge Water Outlet

The analysis results has been presented in **Table 3.2**, **Table 3.3**, **Table 3.4** and **Table 3.5**.

Table 3.2: Discharge Water Quality Monitoring Result (RO Discharge Point)

Parameters	1 st MM Result (April 2018)	2 nd MM Result (May 2018)	3 rd MM Result (June 2018)	4 th MM Result (July 2018)	ECR , 1997 for Inland SW	IFC 2007, Effluent Guidelines	Remarks
Temp (°C)	30.8	32.1	34.32	32.04	40 (Summer)	3 at the age of the mixing zone	
pH	7.7	7.9	7.86	8.71	6-9	6-9	
EC (µS/cm)	28,000	48,800	30,700	1180	1200	-	
TDS (mg/L)	14,000	24,600	18,040	757	2100	-	
DO(mg/L)	4.50	4.90	4.34	7.86	4.8-8	-	
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	2.30	2.41	2.0	1.0	50	30*	
COD (mg/L)	417.25	520	480	4	200	125*	
TH (mg/L)	4,900	5,220	4520	300	-	-	
Cl ⁻ (mg/L)	514	14,500	11800	225	600	-	
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/L)	1209	1520	1360	43	-	-	
PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg/L)	0.299	0.450	2.06	0.35	-	2*	
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/L)	5.07	5.40	3.2	2.1	10	10*	

Parameters	1 st MM Result (April 2018)	2 nd MM Result (May 2018)	3 rd MM Result (June 2018)	4 th MM Result (July 2018)	ECR , 1997 for Inland SW	IFC 2007, Effluent Guidelines	Remarks
Salinity (ppt)	15.80	25.80	19	0.6	-	-	
Turbidity (NTU)	13.00	10.60	10.7	41.9	-	-	

Source: CEGIS 2018

Note: WQ – Water Quality; SW – Surface Water; MM: Monthly monitoring

*Sanitary Sewage Discharges of IFC, 2007

It has been observed that the discharge from RO Plant near the jetty site contains EC and TDS relatively lower than the previous month's data. Results of all the parameters are found within the standard limit. Since this Plant was not in operation for couple of days for maintenance works, the discharge water quality has been observed relatively better than the previous month.

Table 3.3: Storm Water Discharge Quality Monitoring Result

Parameters	3 rd MM Result (June 2018)	4 th MM Result (July 2018)	ECR , 1997 for Inland SW	IFC 2007, Effluent Guidelines	Remarks
Temp (°C)	31.98	35.8	40 (Summer)	3 at the age of the mixing zone	
pH	8.16	7.94	6-9	6-9	
EC (µS/cm)	1190	12,500	1200	-	
TDS (mg/L)	593	7,780	2100	-	
DO(mg/L)	4.63	6.84	4.8-8	-	
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	2.0	3		30*	
COD (mg/L)	8.0	16		125*	
TH (mg/L)	300	3900		-	
Cl ⁻ (mg/L)	290	3850		-	
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/L)	69	750		-	
PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg/L)	1.91	0.37		2*	
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/L)	5.6	4.2		10*	
Salinity (ppt)	0.6	7.1		-	
Turbidity (NTU)	334	89.3		-	

Source: CEGIS 2018

Note: WQ – Water Quality; SW – Surface Water; MM: Monthly monitoring

*Sanitary Sewage Discharges of IFC, 2007

Rainfall runoff from the project site is noted as storm water discharge. It contains project site washout i.e. solid waste, liquid waste. No national or international standard has been fixed for storm water discharge quality. Since, this storm water was released from the Project Site, the standard for effluent has been used for compliance. **Table 3.3** shows the storm water discharge quality. EC and TDS value of storm water discharge have been found higher than the standard values (ECR 1997). Discharge of RO technology based Water Treatment Plant nearby BHEL office, mixing with Passur river water may be the reason for increasing the EC and TDS values. Increasing the chloride concentration in storm water implies the mixing of river water with the storm water discharge.

Drinking water is supplied to the workers continuously. The labours usually take their required drinking water from the RO supply line established at Jetty location. During the field visit water could not be collected from the Jetty area as the RO treatment Plant was going through maintenance works. As such water sample was collected from another drinking water outlet near BHEL Office. BHEL Officials were informed about the change and they assured that the maintenance work would be completed as soon as possible. Table – 3.4a shows the quality of drinking water supplied to the labours for first three months are appended.

Table 3.4a: Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Result (Near Jetty Area)

Parameters	1 st MM Result (April 2018)	2 nd MM Result (May 2018)	3 rd MM Result (May 2018)	ECR , 1997 standard for Drinking Water
Temp (°C)	31.8	31.70	31.86	20-30
pH	8.7	8.97	8.8	6.5-8.5
EC (µS/cm)	70.50	176.00	272	-
TDS (mg.L ⁻¹)	34.80	87.00	135	1000
DO (ml.L ⁻¹)	4.10	5.14	4.5	6
BOD ₅ (ml.L ⁻¹)	2.10	2.08	1	2.0
COD (ml.L ⁻¹)	bdl	4.00	4	4
TH (mg.L ⁻¹)	bdl	105.00	220	200-500
Cl ⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	102.80	36.00	70	150-600
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	11.65	4.00	2	400
PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	0.0795	0.18	0.66	6
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	1.83	0.10	0.4	10
Salinity (ppt.)	1	0.20	0.1	-
Turbidity (NTU)	5.08	8.81	9.6	10

Source: CEGIS 2018

Note: WQ – Water Quality; SW – Surface Water; MM: Monthly monitoring

*Sanitary Sewage Discharges of IFC, 2007, bdl- beyond detectable limit; MM: Monthly monitoring

The drinking water quality is good as the parameters results are well within the standard limit except Temperature and pH. But EC, TDS, Chloride, Phosphate, Nitrate, Turbidity and Total Hardness (TH) are on the higher side than the previous month but within the standard limit. Necessary steps should be taken to reduce the pH and temperature of the drinking water. Water quality data from RO supply Plant located near the BHEL office has been presented in **Table-3.4b** where the water quality data for first two months and 4th months (July, 2018) are appended.

Table 3.4b: Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Result (Near BHEL Office)

Parameters	1 st MM Result (April 2018)	2 nd MM Result (May 2018)	4 th MM Result (July 2018)	ECR , 1997 for Drinking Water
Temp (°C)	32	31.96	33.93	20-30
pH	8.3	9.11	6.08	6.5-8.5
EC (µS/cm)	47.40	122.00	1	-
TDS (mg.L ⁻¹)	23.8	60.00	0	1000
DO (ml.L ⁻¹)	4.00	5.08	7.63	6
BOD ₅ (ml.L ⁻¹)	2.20	2.31	1	2.0
COD (ml.L ⁻¹)	bdl	4.00	4	4
TH (mg.L ⁻¹)	bdl	110.00	105	4
Cl ⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	70.90	15.00	10	150-600

Parameters	1 st MM Result (April 2018)	2 nd MM Result (May 2018)	4 th MM Result (July 2018)	ECR , 1997 for Drinking Water
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	8.34	7.00	1	400
PO ₄ ³⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	0.0476	0.27	0.25	6
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg.L ⁻¹)	2.99	3.00	4.6	10
Salinity (ppt)	0	0.10	0	-
Turbidity (NTU)	6.18	5.97	0.001	10

Source: CEGIS, 2018

bdl- beyond detectable limit; MM: Monthly monitoring

3.3 Ambient Noise Level Monitoring Results and Discussion

Ambient noise level has been monitored at 10 locations in and around the Project area which are shown in **Figure 2.1a** and **Figure 2.1b**. The locations were selected based on the sensitivity of the areas and potentiality of the impact magnitude. Noise level monitoring results of the ambient noise levels are shown in **Table 3.5**. Results were recorded from 10 locations during both the day and night time as the equivalent noise level (Leq) in dBA scale.

Table 3.5: Ambient Noise Level from In and Around the Project Site

Location Name	Types of Area	Time	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (1 st MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (2 nd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (3 rd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (4 th MM)	ECR 2006 dB(A) Leq	IFC 2007 dB(A) Leq	Remark
Jetty Site NL-1	Industrial	D	59.3	59.0	66.6	62.5	75	70	Movement of Vehicles, Engine running, human passing by, Construction works, Noise from water treatment Plant and generator running etc.,
		N	55.0	66.9	47.3	58.6	70	70	Noise from water treatment Plant, Vehicle Movement, Air quality machine running Generators running etc.
Township Construction Area NL -2	Industrial	D	59.5	55.5	56.6	62.9	75	70	Pump Motor running, Pilling works, Welding, Grinding machine running, Rod cutting, Vehicle

Location Name	Types of Area	Time	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (1 st MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (2 nd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (3 rd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (4 th MM)	ECR 2006 dB(A) Leq	IFC 2007 dB(A) Leq	Remark
									movement, Hammering on beam frame,Stone unloading for construction works, Generator running etc.
		N	55.3	63.3	66.6	69.3	70	70	Vehicle movement, Pilling works, Construction works, Excavator working etc.
Construction Area NL-3	Industrial	D	58.7	68.8	70	73.6	75	70	Vehicle movement, Generator running, Hammering on beam frame, Rod cutting, Grinding machine, Construction work etc.
		N	63.2	70.3	51.3	61.4	70	70	Crane working. Vehicle movement, Human passing by and Generator running etc.
Labor Shed (Area) NL-4	Residential	D	58.2	65.6	49.6	57.5	55	55	Vehicle movement, Construction works of labor shed, small generator running, Dril machine running, Angle cutting, Grinding machine, Pile driving etc.
		N	44.4	59.9	60.6	61.1	45	45	Generator noise, Wind blowing, Vehicle movement, Construction noise etc.
Near Entrance Gate in front of Health Care Center NL-5	Commercial Area	D	59.6	60.6	62.5	63.6	70	70	Noise created from beside mixing machine , Vehicle movement, Wind blowing , Running of construction machine.

Location Name	Types of Area	Time	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (1 st MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (2 nd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (3 rd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (4 th MM)	ECR 2006 dB(A) Leq	IFC 2007 dB(A) Leq	Remark
		N	49.2	52.8	57.3	64.7	60	70	Vehicle movement, Wind blowing, Running of construction machine
North-East corner of the PB NL-6	Industrial	D	53.8	51.6	45.3	46.6	75	70	Construction works noise, Hammering on beam frame near North east corner, , Machinery noise from Batching Plant etc.
		N	45.9	49.7	48.3	54.8	70	70	Construction works noise, Crain activity for soil compaction near NE corner, Natural sound in corporation, etc,
South – West Corner NL-7	Industrial	D	60.6	55.8	44.5	53.2	75	70	Construction works noise, Generator running, Vehicle movement, Hammering, vessel movement etc.
		N	53.5	52.4	49.7	55.9	70	70	Wind blowing, Noise of the vessel movement,
North west corner of the Project boundary NL-8	Industrial	D	49.8	54.4	57.4	59.5	75	70	Wind Blowing
		N	63.4	50.5	42.3	62.0	70	70	Construction works, Human rises, Pilling noise, Hammering, Generator running, Vehicular movement, welding etc.
Major construction Area NL-9	Industrial	D	58.5	71.1	66.8	72.1	75	70	Pump motor running, Hammering on beam Generator running, Vehicle movement, Rod cutting, Construction works, Plie driving etc.
		N	55.1	73.3	61.5	70.3	70	70	Construction works, Generator, Excavator running Vehicular movement

Location Name	Types of Area	Time	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (1 st MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (2 nd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (3 rd MM)	Noise Level dB(A) Leq (4 th MM)	ECR 2006 dB(A) Leq	IFC 2007 dB(A) Leq	Remark
									etc
Near BIFPCL Area NL-10	Commercial	D	62.8	62.8	59.9	51.3	70	70	Vehicular movement Nearby construction works, Wind blowing etc.
		N	49.4	51.1	45.9	55.7	70	70	Vehicular movement Nearby construction works

Source: CEGIS, 2018

Massive civil construction activities and mechanical construction are being carried out by BHEL as per design and stipulated time schedule. The sources of noise has been identified and presented in Table 3.5. The construction activities, vehicular movement, running of generator, Crain activities, for soil compaction machineries, Excavators activity and wind blowing are major sources of noise generation. As seen from the above Table that the overall noise level at the construction areas was higher at few places than the previous month except BIFPCL Office premises. It has been observed that the noise level for the major construction areas and labour shed areas were reflecting slightly higher noise level with respect to the national and international standard limit. Massive construction works are responsible for increasing noise level at construction yard which is definitely limited within the project boundary. Construction of labour camp is responsible for increasing the noise level at the labour camp area. Proponent was informed about this and suggestions were given to implement the use of ear-muffs by the labourers during construction activities.



Figure 3.3: Ambient Noise Level Monitoring during Day Time



Figure 3.4: Ambient Noise Level Monitoring during Night

4. Follow-up Action Required

The monitoring activities have been performed independently in order to capture the worst case scenarios of the vital environmental parameters. The result of the environmental parameters shows that the overall values are within the standard limit in general as per ECR 2006 and IFC 2007, except for the noise level in the labour shed area which surpasses both the national and international standard. In order to reduce the pollution and noise level in the coming months BHEL should adopt following measures immediately.

- Spraying water at roads and exposed soil especially the dry days of this monsoon and the coming months;
- Ensuring the use of dust mask by the labours during dry day's period.
- Ensuring the use ear plugs/ ear muffs by the labours for long time exposure at the construction sites;
- Ensuring the management and reuse of the RO Plant rejected water e.g. brine water after necessary treatment using Solvay process; and
- Ensuring the continuation of the best working and safety practises at construction sites.

-

Annex-I

Compliance monitoring Form for 2x660 MW MSTPP, Rampal, Bagerhat BIFPCL

Book No. _____ Monitoring Report No. _____

Date: _____ Time: _____

Location Id/GPS point: _____

Weather Condition: _____

Name of the Investigator: _____ Signature: _____

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
Checking of the Equipment							
1	Air quality measuring instrument	i. Respirable Dust Sampler (Model-Envirotech India APM-460 BL) ii. Fine Particulate Sampler (Model-Envirotech India APM-550) iii. Metravi CO-10 meter and Tongdy O ₃ Monitor	1. CO (8hrs)- (10000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ -ECR)) 2. Oxides of Nitrogen (NO _x)- 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Annual)- ECR; [200 (1-Hr)- IFC] 3. Ozone (O ₃)- 157 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (8 Hrs)-ECR; 160-(IT-1), 100- (8- hr)-IFC 4. PM _{2.5} -65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ - ECR; 75 (IT-1) , 25 (24 hr)-IFC 5. PM ₁₀ -150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ -	<u>AQ-1 (Labor Shed):</u> a. SO ₂ = 11.9 b. NO _x = 13.9 c. SPM= 134.1 d. PM ₁₀ = 109.3 e. PM _{2.5} = 28.9 f. CO = 31 g. O ₃ = 06 <u>AQ-2 (Jetty area):</u>	N/A	AQ 1- Within the standard limit AQ 2- Within the standard limit AQ 3- Within the standard limit	

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
			ECR; 150 (IT-1), 50 (24 hr)-IFC 6. SPM- 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (8 Hrs)-ECR; Oxides of Sulfur (SOx)-365 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	a. SO ₂ =6.2 b. NO _x = 7.1 c. SPM= 95.2 d. PM ₁₀ = 74.9 e. PM _{2.5} = 20.2 f. CO = 36 g. O ₃ = 04 <u>AQ-3(Major Construction area):</u> a. SO ₂ = 12.2 b. NO _x = 14.5 c. SPM= 149.1 d. PM ₁₀ = 122.5 e. PM _{2.5} = 32.7 f. CO = 26 g. O ₃ = 08			
2	Water quality measuring instrument	HORIBA U-50 Multi-metre and Lab Analysis	<u>Standard (Effluent Guidelines)</u> a. Temperature.=3°C at the age of the mixing zone, IFC2007; 40°C (ECR, 1997) b. pH=6-9 (ECR , 1997;IFC,2007) c. EC=1200 ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) (ECR , 1997)	<u>WQ-1 : RO Discharge Water</u> a. Temp = 32.04 (°C), b. pH = 8.71 c. EC = 1180 ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) d. TDS = 757 (mg/L) e. DO = 7.86 (mg/L) f. BOD ₅ = 1.0 (mg/L)	N/A	<u>WQ -1</u> All of the parameter within the standard limit.	

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
			d. TDS=2100 mg/L(ECR,1997) e. DO=4.8-8 (mg/L) (ECR,1997) f. BOD ₅ =50 (mg/L) (ECR,1997)/30 (mg/L) (IFC, 2007) g. COD=200 mg/L (ECR, 1997)/125 (mg/L) (IFC, 2007). h. TH=N/A Cl ⁻ =600 mg/L (ECR, 1997) i. SO ₄ ²⁻ =N/A j. PO ₄ ³⁻ = 2 mg/L(IFC, 2007) k. NO ₃ ⁻ =10 mg/L (ECR, 1997) l. Salinity= N/A m. Turbidity=N/A <u>Drinking water standard</u> a. Temp =20-30 (°C) b. pH=6.5-8.5 c. EC=N/A d. TDS =1000 (mg/L)	g. COD = 4 (mg/L) (std. 125, IFC-2007) h. TH = 300 (mg/L) i. Cl ⁻ = 225 (mg/L) j. SO ₄ ²⁻ = 43 (mg/L) k. PO ₄ ³⁻ = 0.35 (mg/L) l. NO ₃ ⁻ = 2.1 (mg/L) m. Salinity = 0.6 (ppt) n. Turbidity = 41.9 (NTU) <u>WQ-2: Drinking Water</u> a. Temp = 33.93 (°C) b. pH = 6.08 c. EC = 1 ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) d. TDS = 0 (mg/L) e. DO = 7.63 (mg/L) f. BOD ₅ = 1 (mg/L) g. COD) = 4.00 (mg/L) h. TH = 105 (mg/L) i. Cl ⁻ = 10 (mg/L) j. SO ₄ ²⁻ = 1 (mg/L) k. PO ₄ ³⁻ = 0.25 (mg/L) l. NO ₃ ⁻ = 4.6 (mg/L) m. Salinity = 0 (ppt)		<u>WQ-2</u> All the analyzed results of the parameter were found within the standard limit except Temperature, and Hardness	Necessary action should be taken for reducing the Temp and Hardness in Drinking water

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
			e. DO=6.00 (mg/L) f. BOD ₅ =0.20 (mg/L) g. COD =4 (mg/L) h. TH=4 (mg/L) i. Cl ⁻ =150-600 (mg/L) j. SO ₄ ²⁻ =400 (mg/L) k. PO ₄ ³⁻ =6 (mg/L) l. NO ₃ ⁻ =10 (mg/L) m. Salinity =0 (ppt) n. Turbidity=10 (NTU)	n. Turbidity = 0.001 (NTU) <u>WQ-3: Storm Water Discharge</u> a. Temp = 35.8 (°C) b. pH = 7.94 c. EC = 12,500 ($\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$) d. TDS = 7,780 (mg/L) e. DO = 6.84 (mg/L) f. BOD ₅ = 3 (mg/L) g. COD = 16 (mg/L) h. TH= 3900 (mg/L) i. Cl ⁻ = 3850 (mg/L) j. SO ₄ ²⁻ = 750 (mg/L) k. PO ₄ ³⁻ = 0.37 (mg/L) l. NO ₃ ⁻ = 4.2 (mg/L) m. Salinity = 7.1 (ppt) n. Turbidity= 89.3 (NTU)		<u>WQ-3</u> All the analyzed results of the parameter were found within the standard limit Except EC and TDS	Temporary Storm water storage might be mixed with river water results slightly increasing of EC & TDS value than standard
3	Noise quality measuring instrument	Kanomax Sound level meter-MODEL 4431	1. std. ECR 2006, day=75dB(A), Night=70 dB(A) IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A) 2. std. ECR 2006, day= 75	1. <u>Jetty Site NL-1</u> , Day = 62.5 dB(A), Night = 58.6 dB(A) 2. <u>Township Construction Area NL -2</u> , Day = 62.9dB(A), Night = 69.3 dB(A) 3. <u>Construction Area NL-</u>	N/A	All values were within standard limit except in the construction yard and labour shed area, where it exceeded the	Construction works at the major construction site have been running in full swing. The labour

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
			<p>dB(A),Night=70 dB(A) IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)</p> <p>3. std. ECR 2006, day= 75 dB(A),Night=70 dB(A); IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)</p> <p>4. std. ECR 2006, day= 55 dB(A),Night=45 dB(A);IFC2007, day=55 dB(A), night=45 dB(A)</p> <p>5. std. ECR 2006, day= 70 dB(A),Night=60 dB(A);IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)</p> <p>6. std. ECR 2006, day= 75 dB(A),Night=70 dB(A);IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)</p> <p>7. std. ECR 2006, day= 75 dB(A),Night=70 dB(A); IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)</p> <p>8.. std. ECR 2006, day= 75</p>	<p>3. Day = 73.6 dB(A), Night = 61.4 dB(A)</p> <p>4. <u>Labor Shed (Area</u> <u>NL-4, ,</u> Day = 57.5 dB(A), Night = 61.1 dB(A)</p> <p>5. <u>Near Entrance Gate in</u> <u>front of Health Care</u> <u>Center-NL-5,</u> Day = 63.6 dB(A), Night = 64.7 dB(A)</p> <p>6. <u>North-East corner of</u> <u>the PB</u> NL-6, Day = 46.6 dB(A), Night = 54.8 dB(A)</p> <p>7. <u>South –West Corner</u> <u>NL-7,</u> Day = 53.2 dB(A), Night = 55.9 dB(A)</p> <p>8. <u>North west corner of</u> <u>the Project boundary</u> NL-8, Day = 59.5 dB(A), Night = 62.0 dB(A)</p> <p>9. <u>Major construction</u></p>		standard limit (for both day and night time)	shed has also been in construction stages. Therefore, the noise level was slightly higher than the standard. The labours should use ear plug at construction site during long time exposure.

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
			dB(A),Night=70 dB(A); IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A) 9. std. ECR 2006, day= 75 dB(A),Night=70 dB(A); IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A) 10. std. ECR 2006, day= 70 dB(A),Night=60 dB(A); IFC2007, day=70 dB(A), night=70 dB(A)	<u>Area</u> <u>NL-9,</u> Day = 72.1 dB(A), Night = 70.3 dB(A) <u>10. Near BIFPCL Area</u> <u>NL-10,</u> Day = 51.3 dB(A), Night = 55.7 dB(A)			
Activities of monitoring							
4	Digital process and online system						
5	Documentation/ archiving the monitoring data						
6	Number of accidental events						
7	Sampling of biota to the susceptible zone						

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
8	LCA of bio indicators						
9	Toxicity magnitude						
10	Behavioral/ attitude in all changes of fauna						
11	Performance of the Ecosystem management plan						
Interrogating to the investigator							
12	Regularity and authenticity check						
13	Continuation of training and capacity building and awareness, motivational program						
14	Ensure PEPs, ISO standards and ILL during operation of the						

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
	projects						
15	Ensure the social development program and CSR during the operation of the project						
Interviews of the stakeholders							
16	Socio-economic Progress investigation						
17	Assessing Environmental pollution related problems						
18	Assess the changes of bio-diversity and ecosystem fragility						
19	Achievement of the social development program						

A	Monitoring	Description of equipment/ Indicators	Standard/ Situation	Observed Situation ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Deviation / Change (\pm %)	Performance/ Comments	Remarks
20	Checking of the Proper implementation of the EMP						

B. Evaluation (of any of above points)

C. Steps to be taken:

Non Compliance	Action	Tine Frame
1. Minor:		
2. Moderate:		
3. Major:		
4. Critical:		